

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant :	Andreas Przadka	Art Unit :	2826
Serial No. :	10/521,253	Examiner :	Eduardo A. Rodela
Filed :	June 17, 2005	Conf. No. :	8747
Title :	ELECTRONIC COMPONENT		

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Please consider the references listed on the enclosed PTO-1449 form. Foreign patent documents and non-patent literature are enclosed; cited U.S. patents and patent application publications will be provided on request.

Also enclosed is a German translation of a Japanese examination report for a counterpart Japanese application. A rough translation of the German-language text is as follows:

1. The invention is described in the following publications and thus under Paragraph 29.1.3 PG is not patentable.

2. The invention is easily derived from the following publications and thus under Paragraph 29, paragraph 2 PG not patentable.

For Claim 1:

Publications:

1. JPO Publication No. 5-183273

(see especially claim 1)

2. JPO Publication No. 2001-244375

(see especially para. 0012)

3. WO 2000/054337

4. JPO Publication No. 2002-111218

(see especially para. 0045 and paragraphs 0075-0076 in US 2002/0011907 A1 cited in the international search report)

5. JPO Publication No. 7-99420 (see especially para. 0043, column 8, lines 23-36 of EP 0 637 871 A1 cited in the international search report)

An electronic component, in which a switch for impedance matching (corresponding to the "impedance transformer" of the present invention) is arranged in a multilayer substrate is generally known, as can be understood from references 1 to 5.

3. The present application does not meet Par. 37 PG for the following points:

(1) The invention of claim 1 and the invention according to claims 2 to 25 have neither a common problem to be solved nor a common inventive characteristic.

(2) The product invention according to claim 1 does not involve a machine, device, or arrangement or the like that can be used to carry out the processes of claims 26 to 29.

In addition, the process invention of claims 26 to 29 do not involve a process of making, using, or handling the products of claim 1.

Applicant : Andreas Przada
Serial No. : 10/521,253
Filed : June 17, 2005
Page : 2 of 2

Attorney's Docket No.: 14219-0075US1 / P2002,0539
US N

Because the present application does not meet the requirements of Par. 37 PG, the claims other than claim 1 were not examined other than for Par. 37 PG.

In addition, the invention according to claim 1 of the present application was known prior to the present application, so that the separate inventions in the present application do not present a common technical problem that had not already been solved by the time of the present invention. In addition, the separate inventions do not have any inventive characteristics. Thus, the separate inventions of the present application do not fulfill the goals of the application.

This statement is being filed after a first Office Action. The appropriate fee is being paid electronically. Please apply any other charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

March 2, 2009
Date: _____

/Paul Pysher/

Paul A. Pysher
Reg. No. 40,780

Fish & Richardson P.C.
225 Franklin Street
Boston, MA 02110
Telephone: (617) 542-5070
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945